

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,217	06/24/2003	Geoff W. Taylor	OPE-024	2252	
36822	7590 12/22/2004		EXAM	INER	
	& JACOBSON, P.C.		PAK, SU	JNG H	
65 WOODS I STAMFORD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2874		

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/602,217	TAYLOR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	ل
	Sung H. Pak	2874 ·	Pr
<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication</li> <li>Period for Reply</li> </ul>	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	Idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a linumer of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stary reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN.  R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tatute, cause the application to become ABAN)	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timel from the mailing date of this of	y. ommunication.
Status			*
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	3 September 2004.		•
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und	*	•	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-32 is/are withdrest 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)⊠ Claim(s) 33-40 is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	•	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received.  The sents have been received in Appropriority documents have been received in Appropriately (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum		
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0404, 0904.</li> </ol>		ail Date mal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of group IV, claims 33-40 in the reply filed on 9/23/2004 is acknowledged.

## Information Disclosure Statement

Information disclosure statements filed 4/26/2004 and 9/23/2004 have been considered by the examiner.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/602,217

Art Unit: 2874

Claims 33, 34, 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yap et al (US 6,781,533).

Yap discloses a sigma delta analog to digital converter (ADC) comprising: an integrating stage comprising a resistor, gain amplifier, a feedback capacitor (Fig. 5, Fig. 6A, column 3 lines 23-41); a device for performing analog to digital conversion and electrical to optical conversion of the result of the analog to digital conversion (Fig. 5); a device for performing digital to analog conversion (Fig. 5); a decimation filter operably coupled (Fig. 5); sigma delta converter formed from a quantum well channel device structure (Fig. 6c, column 8 lines 1-25).

However, Yap does not explicitly state the use of heterojunction thyristor devices.

Neverthless the use of thyristor devices as electro-optic components are well known and common in the art. Thyristors are advantageously used to provide reliable, precisely controlled electro optic component with high accuracy. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Yap device to use thyristor devices.

Claim 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yap et al (US 6,781,533) in view of Hellberg (US 6,094,458).

Yap discloses a sigma delta converter with all the limitations set forth in the claims as discussed above, except it does not explicitly teach the use of a third device that operate in response to a sampling clock signal supplied to synchronize electro optic converter.

Hellberg, on the other hand, explicitly teaches the use of a third device that operate in response to a sampling clock signal supplied to perform synchronization (Fig. 5, Fig. 6, Fig. 8).

Application/Control Number: 10/602,217

Art Unit: 2874

Page 4

Such a device is advantageous and desirable because it enhances the efficiency of the sigma delta

converter. Therfore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to modify the Yap device to have a device that operate in response

to a sampling clock signal to perform synchronization.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Tiemann (US 5,565,867) discloses an analog to digital converter with optical links.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sung H. Pak whose telephone number is (571) 272-2353. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 9AM-5PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sung H. Pak Examiner

Art Unit 2874

for

Application/Control Number: 10/602,217

Art Unit: 2874

Page 5

sp

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION		Atty Docket No. OPE-024		Serial N				
INFOR	MAT	ON DISCLOSURE CITA	ATION	Applicant Geoff W. Taylor	r et al.			·
		PAGE 1 OF 2		Filed June 24, 2003	3	Group 2874	•	
			US PATENT (	DOCUMENTS				
Examiner Initials		Document No.	Date	Name	Class	Sub- class	Filing d	
	A							
		· F	OREIGN PATE	NT DOCUMENTS	<del></del>		l	
Examiner Initials		Document No.	Date	Country .	Class	Sub-	Transla Yes	tion ·
ap		WO 02/071490	09/12/02	PCT ·				
99		WO 2004/038812	05/06/04	PCT				
						<b>†</b>		
					<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	
			<del> </del>			+		
	<del>                                     </del>					+	1.	
	1					<del>                                     </del>	+	
					<u> </u>	+	+	<del></del>
						-	+	
			<b> </b>					
· · ·	1		<del>                                     </del>				+	
<del></del>						+->	+	
	†						+	
·		THER DOCUMENTS	(including Aut)	hor Title Date 9	Pertinent Par	res Etc.)	<u>.l</u> _	
	T	Their boodinerro	(morading Add	nor, mio, Date, P	erunoni raș	Jes, Etc./		
	<del> -</del>							
····	+					<del></del>		
		,		<del></del>			<del></del>	
	+							

.

.

.

MILE IN						
		Atty Docket No. OPE-024	Serial No. 10/602,217			
* PLESTN FORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION		Applicant Geoff W. Taylor et al.				
	PAGE 2 OF 2	Filed June 24, 2003	Group 2874			
0	THER DOCUMENTS (Including	ng Author, Title, Date, Pertinent	t Pages, Etc.)			
58	"A Brief Introduction to publication; May, 199	o Sigma Delta Conversion", b 5	y David Jarman; Intersil			
SP	"High Resolution Signa Joe Buxton;	"High Resolution Signal Conditioning ADCs" by Walt Kester, James Bryant, and Joe Buxton;				
GP	Filters", by Philippe Be	"Synthesis and Analysis of Sigma-Delta Modulators Employing Continuous-Time Filters", by Philippe Benabes, Mansour Keramat, and Richard Kielbasa; Analog Integrated Circuits and Signal Processing				
99		"Analog-to-Digital Converter Architectures and Choices for System Design"; by Brlan Black; Analog Diaogue 33-8 (1999)				
GP	by Suning Tang, L. Wu,	Il Waveguid Circuits for Photo, Z. Fu, D. An, Z. Han, and Ray on Optoelectronic Interconnec	T. Chen; January, 1999; Par			
·						
			·			
:						
		70 The control of the				
EXAMINER Sur	y Pak	DATE CONSIDERED	12/9/04			